

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO POLITIO Alexandra, Vigonia 22313-1486 www.uspro.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09 760,950 01/15/2001		Eiichi Uriu	YAMAP0347USD	5487	
7	7590 08:12:2003				
Thomas W. Adams			EXAMINER		
Renner, Otto, Boisselle, & Sklar, LLP 19th Floor 1621 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, OH 44115			NGUYEN, TUYEN T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

2832 DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/760,950

Uriu et al.

Art Unit

Examiner
Tuyen T Nauve

Tuyen T. Nguyen 2832

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover she	et with t	the correspondence address			
	for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In right date of this communication.	no event, however, ma	ay a reply be	e timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) Ne application to become	MONTHS fro B ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 22, 2	2003		·			
2a) 🗶	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action	on is non-final.					
3) 🗔	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗔	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) X	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗍	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗀	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held	d in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗀 a	pproved b) 🗀 disapproved by the Examiner			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office act	ion.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) $[\overline{\chi}]$ All b) Some* c) None of:							
1. $X^i$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17	7. <b>2</b> (a)).				
- ,	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	•					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	•					
1	The translation of the foreign language provisiona						
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	35 U.S.C	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:							
		-, _   52,001					

Art Unit: 2832

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tashiro et al. [US 5,515,022] in view of Hirohashi [JP 6-112047].

Tashiro et al. discloses a multi-layered chip inductor [1] comprising:

- at least one conductive pattern [31, 32] having a thickness of 10 micro-meters or more and a width to thickness ratio from 1 to less than 5 [see column 6, lines 12-25];
- at least one pair of insulating layers [22, 23] formed of magnetic material sandwiching the conductive pattern; and
  - a thick conductor [35] connecting the conductive pattern.

Tashiro et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for the inductor component being formed of a ceramic material.

Hirohashi discloses a ceramic chip inductor.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,950 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to form the inductor component of Tashiro et al. with a ceramic material, as suggested by Hirohashi, for the purpose of controlling the frequency response.

### Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 5/22/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

  Applicant argues that:
- [1] The examiner fails to identify where in either of reference there is any teaching or suggestion that the insulating layers are actually in contact with the conductor pattern;
- [2] The specific method used by the references to form the conductor pattern preclude the insulating layer to be in contact, as claimed;
- [3] Tashiro and Hirohashi do not teach or suggest the claimed invention in relation to the thickness of 10 micrometer or more and width to thickness ratio 1 to less than 5:
- [4] The electroforming process necessarily resulted in the formation of the product which differs from the prior art product which are made by a different process; and
  - [5] The declaration shows that Tashiro cannot meet the claimed thickness and ratio.

The examiner disagrees.

Regarding [1], Tashiro discloses the insulating layers in contact with the conductor pattern [figure 2].

Application/Control Number: 09/760,950

Art Unit: 2832

Regarding [2], applicant claims do not preclude a gap between the insulating layers in the area that is not in contact with the conductor.

Page 4

Regarding [3], Tashiro discloses the width to thickness ratio, as claimed.

Regarding [4], Tashiro in view of Hirohashi discloses the product, as claimed.

Regarding [5], applicant has failed to provide sufficient proof and evidence of experimentation to show that Tashiro does not show the claimed thickness to width ratio.

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tuyen T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0821.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,950 Page 5

Art Unit: 2832

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Elvin Enad, can be reached at (703) 308-7619. The fax number for this Group are (703) 308-7722 and (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to status of this application of proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

TTN TTH

August 10, 2003

Trujen Ngrayen